## Algebra Seminar: Tropical Algebra

Sean Grate

July 5, 2021

These notes are heavily borrowed and inspired from Invitation to Nonlinear Algebra by Michalek and Sturmfels.

## 1 Motivating Examples

**Theorem 1.** Let G be a weighted directed graph on n nodes with adjacency matrix  $D_G$ . The entry of the matrix  $D_G^{\odot (n-1)}$  in row i and column j is the length of a shortest (Hamiltonian?) path from node i to node j in the graph  $G$ .

**Example 1.** A company has  $n$  jobs and  $n$  workers and wants to assign each job to one and only one worker. Let  $x_{ij}$  be the cost of assigning job i to worker j. Naturally, the company wishes to find the cheapest assignment  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ :

$$
\min \big\{ x_{1\pi(1)} + x_{2\pi(2)} + \ldots + x_{n\pi(n)} \; : \; \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n \big\}.
$$

Theorem 2. The minimum from Example 1 is the tropical determinant of the matrix  $X = (x_{ij})$ . The tropical determinant solves the assignment problem.

## 2 What is tropical algebra?

**Definition 1.** The tropical semiring  $(\overline{R}, \oplus, \odot)$  (also called the min-plus algebra) is the set  $\overline{R} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ , where  $\infty$  represents plus-infinity, and

$$
x \oplus y := \min(x, y)
$$
 and  $x \cdot y := x + y$ .

Remark 1. Infinity is the additive identity and zero is the multiplicative identity, i.e.

$$
x \oplus \infty = x
$$
 and  $x \odot 0 = x$ .

Furthermore, we have

$$
x \odot \infty = \infty
$$
 and  $x \oplus 0 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \ge 0 \\ x & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$ .

Example 2.

$$
2 \oplus 5 = \min \{2, 5\} = 2
$$
  
6 \odot 3 = 6 + 3 = 9  
5 \odot 3 \oplus 2 \odot 4 = \min \{5 + 3, 2 + 4\} = \min \{8, 6\} = 6

**Theorem 3.** • Pascal's triangle under tropical addition consists of all zeros.

- The binomial theorem holds.
- The Freshman's Dream holds.

Example 3.

$$
(x \oplus y)^{\odot 3} = x^{\odot 3} \oplus x^{\odot 2} \odot y \oplus x \odot y^{\odot 2} \oplus y^{\odot 3}
$$
  
\n
$$
(x \oplus y)^{\odot 3} = (x \oplus y) \odot (x \oplus y) \odot (x \oplus y)
$$
  
\n
$$
= 3 \min \{x, y\}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \min \{3x, 2x + y, x + 2y, 3y\}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \min \{3x, 3y\}
$$
  
\n
$$
= x^{\odot 3} \oplus y^{\odot 3}.
$$

Remark 2. The tropical semiring should remind one of logarithms. Note that for small positive real numbers, we have  $log(u \cdot v) = log(u) \odot log(v)$  and  $log(u + v) \approx log(u) \oplus log(v)$ . Tropical geometry thus pops up when drawing log-log plots in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , suggesting connections to statistical models.

Definition 2. A *tropical polynomial* is a function that is the minimum of finitely many affine-linear functions. A real number  $u$  is said to be a *tropical root* of a given tropical polynomial if that minimum is attained at least twice when the affine-linear functions are evaluated at the argument u.

Example 4. Consider the tropical polynomial given by

$$
trop(f)(u) = u^{\odot 4} \oplus 1 \odot u^{\odot 2} \oplus 3
$$
  
= min {4u, 1 + 2u, 3}.

Then the roots of trop(f) are  $u = 1$  and  $u = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ .



## 3 Linear Algebra

Note 1. We can do matrix and vector operations over the tropical semiring. Consider the case in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with vectors  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$
v^{\top}w = v_1 \odot w_1 \oplus v_2 \odot w_2 \oplus v_3 \odot w_3 = \min\{v_1 + w_1, v_2 + w_2, v_3 + w_3\};
$$
  
\n
$$
vw^{\top} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \odot w_1 & v_1 \odot w_2 & v_1 \odot w_3 \\ v_2 \odot w_1 & v_2 \odot w_2 & v_2 \odot w_3 \\ v_3 \odot w_1 & v_3 \odot w_2 & v_3 \odot w_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 + w_1 & v_1 + w_2 & v_1 + w_3 \\ v_2 + w_1 & v_2 + w_2 & v_2 + w_3 \\ v_3 + w_1 & v_3 + w_2 & v_3 + w_3 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

**Example 5.** Consider a weighted directed graph G where each directed edge  $(i, j)$  has an associated (non-negative) weight  $d_{ij}$ . If  $(i, j)$  is not present in G, then we set  $d_{ij} = \infty$ . In this way, we get the adjacency matrix  $D_G = (d_{ij})$  of G. Note that this need not be symmetric. We now return to one of our motivating examples from the beginning.

**Theorem 4.** Let G be a weighted directed graph on n nodes with adjacency matrix  $D_G$ . The entry of the matrix  $D_G^{\odot (n-1)}$  in row i and column j is the length of a shortest (Hamiltonian?) path from node  $i$  to node  $j$  in the graph  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $d_{ij}^{(r)}$  denote the minimum length of any path from node i to node j using at most r edges in G. Clearly,  $d_{ij}^{(1)} = d_{ij}$  for any i, j. Since the  $d_{ij}$  are non-negative, for any i, j, there exists a shortest path from  $i$  to  $j$  that visits each node of  $G$  at most once. So the length of a shortest path from *i* to *j* equals  $d_{ij}^{(n-1)}$ .

We can then see that there is a recursive relationship when finding the length of a shortest path:

$$
d_{ij}^{(r)} = \min \Big\{ d_{ik}^{(r-1)} + d_{kj} \ : \ k = 1, 2, \dots n \Big\}.
$$

We may rewrite this as

$$
d_{ij}^{(r)} = d_{i1}^{(r-1)} \odot d_{1j} \oplus d_{i2}^{(r-1)} \odot d_{2j} \oplus \ldots \oplus d_{in}^{(r-1)} \odot d_{nj}
$$
  
= 
$$
\begin{bmatrix} d_{i1}^{(r-1)} & d_{i2}^{(r-1)} & \vdots & d_{in}^{(r-1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_{1j} \\ d_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ d_{nj} \end{bmatrix}.
$$

It follows from induction on r that  $d_{ij}^{(r)}$  equals the entry in row i and column j of  $D_G^{\odot r}$  since the RHS is the tropical product of row i in  $D_G^{\odot (r-1)}$  and column j in  $D_G$ . Applying this to  $r = n - 1$ , we get that  $d_{ij}^{(n-1)}$  is the entry in row i and column j of  $D_G^{\odot (n-1)}$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $X = (x_{ij})$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ . The tropical determinant is defined in the expected way:

$$
tropdet(X) \coloneqq \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x_{1\pi(1)} \odot x_{2\pi(2)} \odot \ldots \odot x_{n\pi(n)}.
$$

Returning to our previous example with the company assigning  $n$  jobs to exactly  $n$  workers, the tropical determinant solves the assignment problem.

Theorem 5. The tropical determinant solves the assignment problem.

*Proof.* Let  $x_{ij}$  be the cost of assigning job i to worker j and set  $X = (x_{ij})$ . The company wants to minimize the total cost of assigning jobs to workers. Thus we have that such a minimum is

$$
\min \left\{ x_{1\pi(1)} + x_{2\pi(2)} + \ldots + x_{n\pi(n)} \; : \; \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n \right\} = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x_{1\pi(1)} \odot x_{2\pi(2)} \odot \ldots \odot x_{n\pi(n)} \n= \text{tropdet}(X).
$$

Note 2. Although the tropical determinant is at least  $n!$  computations, the polynomial-time Hungarian algorithm computes tropdet $(X)$ .

 $\Box$