CastelNuovo-Mumford Regularity of Toric Surfaces

Sean Grate

Auburn University

January 11, 2025

seangrate.com

Get bored?

Check out my website (seangrate.com)

- Other math
  - Lefschetz properties
  - algebraic combinatorics
  - topological data analysis
  - software
- I'm on the job market looking for a postdoc position
  - CV
  - research statement
  - teaching and learning statement

Betti tables

Given an ideal $I \subseteq R = \mathbb{K}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$, a free resolution "approximates" R/I. The Betti table records the ranks of the summands appearing in a free resolution.

Definition

Let

$$0 \leftarrow R/I \leftarrow F_0 \leftarrow F_1 \leftarrow \ldots F_n \leftarrow 0$$

be the minimal free resolution of R/I with $F_i = \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{i,j}}$. The Betti table of $F_\bullet$ is a table where the entry in the j-th row and i-th column is $\beta_{i,i+j}$,

Example

$R = \mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ and $I =< x^2, y^2, z^3 >$

$$0 \leftarrow R/I \leftarrow R \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x^2 & y^2 & z^3 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} R(-2)^2 \\ \oplus \\ R(-3) \end{matrix} \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -y^2 & -z^3 & 0 \\ x^2 & 0 & -z^3 \\ 0 & x^2 & y^2 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{matrix} R(-4) \\ \oplus \\ R(-5)^2 \end{matrix} \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} z^3 \\ -y^2 \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix}} R(-7) \leftarrow 0$$

	0	1	2	3
0	1	.	.	.
1	.	2	.	.
2	.	1	1	.
3	.	.	2	.
4	.	.	.	1

Example

$R = \mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ and $I =< x^2, y^2, z^3 >$

$$0 \leftarrow R/I \leftarrow R \leftarrow \begin{matrix} R(-(1+1))^2 \\ \oplus \\ R(-(1+2)) \end{matrix} \leftarrow \begin{matrix} R(-(2+2)) \\ \oplus \\ R(-(2+3))^2 \end{matrix} \leftarrow R(-(3+4)) \leftarrow 0$$

	0	1	2	3
0	1	.	.	.
1	.	2	.	.
2	.	1	1	.
3	.	.	2	.
4	.	.	.	1

Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity

Definition

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ be a homogeneous ideal, and consider the minimal free resolution

$$0 \leftarrow R/I \leftarrow F_0 \leftarrow F_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow F_{n+1} \leftarrow 0$$

of R/I, where $F_i \cong \bigoplus_j R(-i-j)^{\beta_{i,i+j}}$. The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity (or simply regularity) is

$$\operatorname{reg}(R/I) = \max_{i,j} \{j \ : \ \beta_{i,i+j} \neq 0\}.$$

The regularity is the index of the bottom row of the Betti table.

Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity

Definition

A coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$ is m-regular if

$$H^i(\mathcal{F}(m-i)) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$. The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity (or simply regularity) is

$$\inf\left\{d \ : \ H^i(\mathcal{F}(d-i)) = 0 \text{ for all } i > 0\right\}.$$

Monomial curves

Definition

The monomial curve with exponents $a_1 \leq \ldots \leq a_{n-1}$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is the curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $d = a_n$ parameterized by

$$\varphi\colon \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^n \quad \textit{with} \quad (s,t) \mapsto (s^d, s^{d-a_1}t^{a_1}, \ldots, s^{d-a_{n-1}}t^{a_{n-1}}, t^d).$$

Theorem (L'vovsky; 1996)

Let $A = (0, a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ be a sequence of non-negative integers such that the g.c.d. of the a_j's equals 1, and let C be the corresponding monomial curve. Then C is m-regular, where

$$m = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \{(a_i - a_{i-1}) + (a_j - a_{j-1})\},$$

i.e., m is the sum of the two largest gaps in the semigroup generated by A.

Example (twisted cubic)



$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\varphi(s,t) = (\underset{x_0}{s^3}, \quad \underset{x_1}{s^2 t}, \quad \underset{x_2}{st^2}, \quad \underset{x_3}{t^3})$$

$$I_C = \langle x_2^2 - x_1 x_3, \quad x_1 x_2 - x_0 x_3, \quad x_1^2 - x_0 x_2 \rangle$$

$$0 \leftarrow R/I_C \leftarrow R \leftarrow R(-2)^3 \leftarrow R(-3)^2 \leftarrow 0$$

$$\operatorname{reg}(I_C) = 2 \leq (a_1 - a_0) + (a_2 - a_1) = 1 + 1 = 2$$

```
i1 : kk = ZZ/32749;

i2 : I = monomialCurveIdeal(kk[x_0..x_3], {1,2,3})

                2                          2
o2 = ideal (x   - x x , x x   - x x , x   - x x )
            2    1 3   1 2    0 3   1    0 2

i3 : print betti res I
           0 1 2
total:     1 3 2
    0:     1 . .
    1:     . 3 2
```

Example (sporadic)



$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 5 & 7 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ 7 & 4 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\varphi(s,t) = (\underset{x_0}{s^7}, \quad \underset{x_1}{s^3t^4}, \quad \underset{x_2}{s^5t^2}, \quad \underset{x_3}{t^7})$$

$$I_C = \langle x_2^2 - x_1x_3, \quad x_1^3x_2 - x_0^2x_3^2, \quad x_1^4 - x_0^2x_2x_3 \rangle$$

$$0 \leftarrow R/I_C \leftarrow R \leftarrow \overset{R(-2)}{\underset{R(-4)^2}{\oplus}} \leftarrow R(-5)^2 \leftarrow 0$$

$$\operatorname{reg}(I_C) = 4 \leq (a_1 - a_0) + (a_2 - a_1) = 3 + 2 = 5$$

```
i1: kk = ZZ/32749;

i2: I = monomialCurveIdeal(kk[x_0..x_3], {3,5,7})

             2          3      2 2   4    2
o2 = ideal (x  - x x , x x  - x x , x  - x x x )
             2    1 3   1 2    0 3   1    0 2 3

i3 : print betti res I
      0 1 2
total: 1 3 2
    0: 1 . .
    1: . 1 .
    2: . . .
    3: . 2 2
```

Toric surfaces





Goal

Extend L'vovsky's result to toric surfaces, i.e., find a combinatorial bound on the regularity of toric surfaces.

- *Look at toric surfaces which are defined by an incomplete linear series.*
- *Include all points on the boundary of a polygon and exclude all its interior points.*
- *These are usually not normal, but may be smooth.*

Setup



$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\downarrow \quad \mathrm{Conv}(A) \setminus \mathrm{Int}(A)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\downarrow \quad \text{homogenize}$$

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hollow triangle

Definition

Suppose $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k \end{pmatrix}$. *The hollow triangle of length* k *is* $\triangle^k := \tilde{A}$.





Hollow triangle data

			0	1	2	3				
2	total:	1	6	8	3					
	0:	1	.	.	.					
	1:	.	6	8	3					

			0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
3	total:	1	17	53	91	108	83	37	9	1
	0:	1	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	1:	.	17	43	36	8	.	.	.	.
	2:	.	.	10	55	100	83	37	9	1

Hollow triangle data

		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
4	total:	1	33	153	525	1356	2178	2205	1486	675	201	36	3
	0:	1	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	1:	.	33	123	144	30	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	2:	.	.	30	381	1326	2178	2205	1486	675	201	36	3

		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
5	total:	1	54	389	2028	7845	18957	30393	34672	29106	18162
	0:	1	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	1:	.	54	266	462	174	15	.	.	.	.
	2:	.	.	123	1566	7671	18942	30393	34672	29106	18162

Results

Lemma

For all $d \geq 2$, $(R/I_{\triangle^k})_d = (\overline{R/I_{\triangle^k}})_d$.

Theorem

For all $k \geq 2$, $\operatorname{reg}(\triangle^k) = 2$.

Results

Lemma

For all $d \geq 2$, $(R/I_{\square^k})_d = (\overline{R/I_{\square^k}})_d$.

Theorem

For all $k \geq 2$, $\operatorname{reg}(\square^k) = 2$.

Proof sketch of theorem

- *Use the short exact sequence of sheaves*

$$0 \to \mathscr{I}_{\square^k}(d) \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{4k-1}}(d) \to \mathscr{O}_{\square^k}(d) \to 0$$

  to eventually get a short exact sequence

$$0 \to R/I_{\square^k} \to \overline{R/I_{\square^k}} \to N \to 0.$$

- *By the lemma, N is generated is only generated by degree* 1 *monomials.*
- $\operatorname{reg}(R/I_{\square^k}) \leq \max(\operatorname{reg}(\overline{R/I_{\square^k}}), N) = \operatorname{reg}(\overline{R/I_{\square^k}}) = 2.$

Proof sketch of lemma

- *Showing* $(R/I_{\square^k})_d = (\overline{R/I_{\square^k}})_d$ *for* $d \geq 2$ *amounts to a computation with the lattice points of* $\square^k$.
- *We are done if for any* $p_1, p_2 \in \overline{\square^k}$, *we can write* $p_1 + p_2 = q_1 + q_2$ *with* $q_1, q_2 \in \square^k$.



$$p_1 + p_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof sketch of lemma

- *Showing* $(R/I_{\square^k})_d = (\overline{R/I_{\square^k}})_d$ *for* $d \geq 2$ *amounts to a computation with the lattice points of* $\square^k$.
- *We are done if for any* $p_1, p_2 \in \overline{\square^k}$, *we can write* $p_1 + p_2 = q_1 + q_2$ *with* $q_1, q_2 \in \square^k$.



$$p_1 + p_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof sketch of lemma

- *Showing* $(R/I_{\square^k})_d = (\overline{R/I_{\square^k}})_d$ *for* $d \geq 2$ *amounts to a computation with the lattice points of* $\square^k$.
- *We are done if for any* $p_1, p_2 \in \overline{\square^k}$, *we can write* $p_1 + p_2 = q_1 + q_2$ *with* $q_1, q_2 \in \square^k$.



$$p_1 + p_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 8 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

“Bad Boy”

In general, the regularity can be arbitrarily large by using

$$A = \tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d & d-1 & d \\ 0 & d-1 & d & d \end{pmatrix}.$$



Smooth is not enough



	0	1	2	3	4	5	...
total:	1	54	385	1462	3608	6456	...
0:	1	.	.	.	.	.	...
1:	.	52	280	730	1128	1050	...
2:	.	.	81	600	2040	4416	...
3:	.	2	24	132	440	990	...

Smooth is not enough



	0	1	2	3	4	5	...
total:	1	74	633	2883	8593	18953	...
0:	1	.	.	.	.	.	...
1:	.	73	486	1627	3388	4620	...
2:	.	1	144	1218	4983	13541	...
3:	.	.	3	38	222	792	...

Thank you!